Brief Description of the Drawings

Applicants herewith submit one (1) replacement paragraph [0013] for the specification on the following page.

The replacement paragraph is added in connection with the addition of the new sheets of drawings.

No new matter has been added.

Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to enter the replacement paragraph [0013] for the specification.

[0013] Figure 6 is a graph illustrating one embodiment of a reflectivity wavelength for a ML mirror while Figures 7A-7D illustrate bi-layers located over a substrate in a broad-angle multilayer (ML) mirror or multiple layer structure.

REMARKS

35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph

The examiner has rejected claims 4-10, 16-19, and 23-26 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicants have amended the claims to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention. Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw the rejections to claims 4-10, 16-19, and 23-26 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (b)

The Examiner has rejected clams 1-2 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (b) as being anticipated by Yakshin et al. (E.P. 1348984, submitted by applicant).

Applicants have amended claim 1 so that it is not anticipated by Yakshin et al. Claim 2 is dependent on claim 1 so that it is also not anticipated by Yakshin et al.

Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw the rejections to claims 1-2 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (b).

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a)

The Examiner has rejected clams 3-4, as best as understood, under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over <u>Yakshin et al.</u> (EP 1348984, submitted by applicant).

Claims 3-4 are dependent on claim 1. Applicant has amended claim 1 so that the cited art does not render claim 1 obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of semiconductors at the time that the invention was made. Thus, the cited art also would not render claims 3-4 obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of semiconductors.

Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw the rejections to claims 3-4 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a).

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a)

The Examiner has rejected claims 5-9, 11-13, 15-21, and 23-26, as best as understood, under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Yakshin et al.</u> (EP

1348984) in view of Mann et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0099034, submitted by applicant).

Claims 5-9 are dependent on claim 1. Applicant has amended claim 1 so that the cited art does not render claim 1 obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of semiconductors at the time that the invention was made. Thus, the cited art also would not render claims 5-9 obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of semiconductors.

Claims 20 and 23-26 are dependent on claim 20. Applicant has amended claim 20 so that the cited art does not render claim 1 obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of semiconductors at the time that the invention was made. Thus, the cited art also would not render claims 12-13 and 15-19 obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of semiconductors.

Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw the rejections to claims 5-9, 11-13, 15-21, and 23-26 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a).

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a)

The Examiner has rejected claims 14 and 22 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Yakshin et al. (EP 1348984) in view of Mann et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0099034).

Claim 14 is dependent on claim 11. Applicant has amended claim 11 so that the cited art does not render claim 11 obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of semiconductors at the time that the invention was made. Thus, the cited art also would not render claim 14 obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of semiconductors.

Claim 22 is dependent on claim 20. Applicant has amended claim 20 so that the cited art does not render claim 20 obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of semiconductors at the time that the invention was made. Thus, the cited art also would not render claim 22 obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of semiconductors.

Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw the rejections to claims 14 and 22 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a).

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a)

The examiner has rejected claim 10 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Yakshin et al. (EP 1348984) and Mann et al. (US Pub. No. 2003/0099034) as applied to claim 6 above and further in view of Hudyma et al. (U.S. 6,188,513).

Claim 10 is dependent on claim 1. Applicant has amended claim 1 so that the cited art does not render claim 1 obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of semiconductors at the time that the invention was made. Thus, the cited art also would not render claim 10 obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of semiconductors.

Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw the rejections to claim 10 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a).

Conclusion

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this application as amended. Claims 1, 6-11, and 17-26 have been amended. No claims have been canceled. Therefore, claims 1-26 are presented for examination.

Applicants respectfully submit that the rejections have been overcome and that the claims are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, applicants respectfully request the rejections be withdrawn and the claims be allowed.

The Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at (408) 653-7897 should any issue remain regarding any issue with allowance of the case.

Applicants respectfully petition for a 2-month extension of time to respond to the outstanding Office Action pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). Please charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2666 to cover the necessary fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a) for such an extension.

Please charge any shortage to our Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: April 19, 2006

George Chen Reg. No. 50,807

12400 Wilshire Boulevard 7th Floor Los Angeles, California 90025-1026 (408) 653-7897